

UNDERSTANDING INNOVATION: PLAYING IN THE SANDBOX WITH FRIENDS

Paul T. Skaggs, IDSA
Brigham Young University, Chair of the Industrial Design Program
paul_skaggs@byu.edu

INTRODUCTION

Most organizations, particularly those in volatile environments, recognize the need to stimulate innovation in their workforce, since creativity can lead to new and useful ideas that can be highly profitable (Shalley 2001). Is it possible to learn to be more innovative? Innovation may not be a matter of learning but of unlearning. We each have in us an instinctive drive designed to push us to learn and experience important principles of innovation; curiosity and discovery, exploration and experimentation, communication and socialization. (Elkind 2008). This instinctive drive is called *play*. Play has been shown to be crucial to the development of these skills through decades of research. This is especially true of the purest form of play: unstructured, self-motivated, imaginative, and independent, where people initiate their own games and even invent their own rules (Elkind 2008). Children are intrinsically motivated to play and thus learn at tremendous rates in their formative years (Bowler 1997); however, despite these natural tendencies, adults try to control young children's natural tendencies and inclinations for learning and communicate to them that it is time to stop playing and start working—it is time for school. It is time to control those physical urges and sit still, it is time to learn a set of rules, and it is time to stop talking to your neighbor. By emphasizing play, we are not saying that the principles of work are unimportant as Mihaly Csikszentmihalyi (1997) states, “this playfulness doesn't go very far without its antithesis, a quality of doggedness, endurance, perseverance, and hard work.” Together work and play can go hand in hand to create a fairly accurate model for innovation, a model that combines play and work or creativity and implementation. It is time to push back on the system and provide opportunities for unlearning those things that limit our creativity and to relearn those important attributes gained through the principles of play.

THE METAPHOR

In this paper, the relationship of play to innovation is explored using the metaphor of “playing in the sandbox with friends.” *Play* is an intrinsically motivated, immersive, [ambiguous], heuristic activity driven by curiosity and discovery, exploration and experimentation, and communicating and socializing (Elkind 2008). The *sandbox* represents a creative environment, a time and place set aside for play, a place that stimulates ideas and promotes focus and collaboration while providing materials that help visualize, validate, and iterate ideas. *Friends* represent an open, safe, and collaborative relationship in which sharing, critiquing, and building ideas takes place. This metaphor of playing in the sandbox with friends helps to focus our discussion on the role creativity plays in innovation. While there are a variety of approaches to help us be more creative, one helpful approach is to remove barriers to creative thinking (Adams 1974). These barriers fall into three domains: perceptual, *play* in the metaphor; environmental, *the sandbox* in the metaphor; and relational, *friends* in the metaphor. By focusing on the familiar idea of playing in the sandbox with friends, we are able to incorporate all three barrier domains.

HOW INNOVATION AND CREATIVITY CONNECT

The term *innovation* has its roots in the Latin word *novus*, which means “new,” and is derived into the verb *in + novare*, which means “to make new,” giving *innovation* the meaning of “the act of introducing something new (*The American Heritage Dictionary* 2000). Teresa Amabile (1988) defined innovation as “the successful implementation of creative ideas within an organization.” (p. 126) Leonard and Swap (1999) studied innovation in connection with creativity and found that innovation is the end result of a creative activity. Within this framework, they define *creativity* as “a process of developing and expressing novel ideas that are likely to be useful.” (p.3)

The American Heritage Dictionary mentions two important components in its definition: “to make” and “new.” In general, “to make” is to implement—it is the realization of the idea. To be “new” requires creation. Two of the hallmarks of creativity are that, first, the production of ideas that are new, novel, and unique, and second, these ideas must have value, be useful, and fulfill a need. Combining these two definitions, we arrive at a definition for innovation: creative ideas (new and useful) successfully implemented.

This paper focuses on the creative component that makes up innovation because we know work. Traditional public education focuses on the convergent thinking component of implementation; that is, a teacher provides problems to a class and students work using logical and evaluative thinking to critique and narrow ideas to the one best suited to the given situation. In other words, in school we were taught to find the single best correct answer to a standard problem in the shortest time (Cropley 2006). As children, we used to know play as well. By nature, humans are born to play. Play is something we have all experienced and observed—an instinctive drive built into our nature to help us learn important skills for true survival (Gray 2013). Playing is instinctive and is fundamental to our existence. Playing helps us survive and thrive by connecting us to other human beings and by creating energy and excitement within ourselves. Play is simultaneously a source of calmness and relaxation as well as a source of stimulation for the brain and body (Kemp 2012).

We need play. The creativity aspect of innovation is discovering and defining a problem. Playing with multiple ideas and not taking any idea too seriously aims at “discovering” problems and understanding them by means of branching out, making unexpected associations, applying the known in unusual ways, and seeing unexpected implications (Lowery 2007).

To be innovative, creativity (play) and implementation (work) are equally important (Csikszentmihalyi 1997). There are numerous stories of creative ideas that were best implemented by someone other than the creator. Bell laboratories were creative in developing the transistor, but Sony was innovative in its implementation into the transistor radio. Kodak developed the creative idea of digital photography, but Sony, Nikon, and Canon implemented it into successful digital cameras. Xerox invented the novel and useful mouse and GUI (graphical user interface), but Apple implemented them successfully into the Macintosh.

CREATIVITY (PLAY)

There are numerous connections between the components of play and the attributes of creativity. *Play* is defined as a range of intrinsically motivated activities normally associated with pleasure and enjoyment (Garvey 1990). Play is immersive (Garvey 1990). Play is ambiguous (Sutton-Smith 1997). Play is heuristic in nature in that there are little to no fixed rules, and if there are rules, they are often very fluid. Play is driven by curiosity and discovery, exploration and experimentation, and communication and socialization (Elkind 2008).

Creativity is intrinsically motivated—the activity itself is its own reward—whereas work is usually an extrinsically motivated activity. If we can define task engagement for extrinsic reasons as “work” and task engagements for intrinsic reason as “play” it will be expected that states of highly creative activity will seem like play (Amabile 1996). Creativity is immersive; Mihaly Csikszentmihalyi (1997) has studied creativity extensively and describes a flow state in the creative process that requires just the right balance of challenge and opportunity and compares this to play. Creativity is ambiguous; Vernon (1970) seemed to think that this [tolerance for ambiguity] was the most important trait for creative work. Creativity is heuristic in nature; it has no clear process and can be inhibited by rules and norms. Creativity is driven by curiosity, the desire to understand “Why?” How many times does a child ask that question? Children are naturally curious. And when do they stop asking that question? Research tells us this disappears about the fourth grade (Torrance 1967). This is why, Neil Postman (1996) says, “Children enter school as question marks and leave as periods.” (p.53) Creativity is discovery. Woodrow Wilson said creativity is “seeing things with a fresh pair of eyes.” William James (1907) described it as “perceiving in an unhabitual way.” Creativity is exploration and experimentation. “The ability to relate and to connect, sometimes in odd yet striking fashion, lies at the very heart of any creative use of the mind,” (Seidel 1966). Arthur Koestler (1964) added that creativity “is the ability to make combinations of previously unrelated structures.” Creativity offers opportunities to explore and experiment and fail with no repercussions. Creativity is communication. If you cannot persuade the world that you have a creative idea, how does the world know that you actually had it? It is impossible to separate creativity and persuasion—the two stand or fall together (Csikszentmihalyi 1990). A man who can’t communicate his ideas clearly is on the same plane as one who has no ideas (Pericles 429 BC). Creativity is socialization—learning to collaborate with others to explore ideas. Collaboration drives creativity because innovation always emerges from a series of sparks—never a single flash of insight (Sawyer 2007).

Consistently in “kids against adults” activities like building a spaghetti and marshmallow tower or designing scribble robots, the kids win because they are willing to play. Kids are more adept at discovering, exploring, and experimenting without worrying about rules, failure, criticism, or looking foolish. “The kids were better at building the robots—faster and more effective—because they didn’t have any inhibitions—they were willing [and know how] to play” (Wright 2012).

CREATIVITY BARRIERS

Perceptual blocks come from things we assimilate while growing up. People we’ve associated with and experiences we’ve had will help us to frame the world around us. We are taught to search for the one right answer, to focus on being logical, to avoid ambiguity, to be practical, and to view play as frivolous. Over time we develop thinking habits, which lead to stereotypic ways of thought and action (Davis 1999).

ENVIRONMENT (SANDBOX)

The sandbox represents a creative environment, a time and place set aside to play in, a place that stimulates ideas, promotes focus and collaboration, and provides materials that help visualize, validate, and iterate ideas. We can play anytime and anywhere, but an environment can be an important part in allowing play attributes to be expressed. There are many features of the sandbox, each supporting a different type of play activity. Creative play area includes the following conditions: *stimulation*, it is recognized as a place to play, where the mind is inspired or a thought process is triggered in some new way; *focus*, where a period of time is uninterrupted; and *collaboration*, where ideas are shared, compared, critiqued, and revised (Groves 2010). A creative environment also provides materials for visualizing and making ideas concrete.

SPACES FOR STIMULATION

Spaces that stimulate expose the mind to a variety of motivations, both planned and random, in order to encourage people to think differently. The environment can be used as a tool to communicate an attitude of exploration, discovery, and experimentation, and it can also provide materials to play with (Groves 2010). It doesn't have to be an attractive place; many creative ideas have come out of a garage. The garage provides stimuli, a place to focus, and materials and tools to work with.

SPACES FOR FOCUS

John Cleese advocates creating an "oasis" away from the daily stresses (and interruptions) where your creative mind can safely come out and play, guarded by boundaries of space and boundaries of time (2009). Periods of focus coupled with time to relax and unwind set up the right conditions for brains to be creative (Groves 2010).

SPACES FOR COLLABORATION

Spaces for collaboration are spaces where groups can work together, share, discuss, critique, and modify creative ideas. Creative spaces with a feeling of play open up lines of communication between people and help them try new things and sometimes just have fun. Because these spaces may be used for multiple activities, they require great versatility and configurability.

SPACES WITH MATERIALS

Spaces with materials provide players with materials for experimentation, and the more versatile the materials, the better. This concept is shown by the experience of kids on Christmas morning playing more with the box than with the toy. Why? The box gives them freedom to explore, discover, and experiment—things that the toy inside may not provide.

The materials used in innovation are used to make ideas concrete by providing a means to visualize those ideas. It helps the innovators understand the idea, gives them tools to communicate the idea to others, and enhances collaboration. These visualized ideas are called prototypes. The word *prototype* derives from the Greek *protos*, "first," and *typos*, "impression." These creative first impressions are both 2-D and 3-D and can include sketches, diagrams, videos, role-playing, storyboards, models, mock-ups, and simulations.

Prototypes are tools for exploring ideas, ways to clarify thinking; they help validate ideas, discover unexpected problems, explore alternatives, and make adjustments. Prototypes leave less room for misinterpretation; they give the team something tactile as a tool to understand experiences, behaviors, and perceptions. They can be used to get feedback, experiment with ideas, and sell ideas. First we shape our models, and then our models shape us (Schrage 2000).

SANDBOX BARRIERS

Some blocks to creativity occur in our environment, the sandbox. There are cultural elements related to the environment that remind us that we must conform, fit in, follow the rules, do it this way, and work hard. Most of the time these notions give order to life, but we need to recognize them so that we can overcome these barriers when we need to. If you want to be creative, it is a good idea to go to a place where you feel less constrained by these environmental blocks.

COLLABORATION (*FRIENDS*)

The metaphor uses the term *friends*, but not everyone we work with is a friend. *Friends* represent an open, safe, and collaborative relationship for sharing, critiquing, and building ideas with others. In creative collaboration, we need to have a trusting, open, and safe environment to allow ideas to be expressed—a friendly environment. The idea of the lone creative genius has been the image of innovation for many years, but it generally doesn't exist. In reality, very few great ideas come from the lone genius in our innovation-driven world (Sawyer 2007).

Collaboration helps creativity in four ways. First, collaboration provides different points of view, opportunities for unique combinations, and connections between ideas, marks of creativity. Second, collaborative feedback speeds up visualizing, validating, and iterating of ideas. Speed is the last great competitive advantage, and speed is enhanced by having people around who can validate whether the idea has value and can point out options or problems that help build the idea. Third, collaboration results in more associations and connections that can help push a good idea forward. Finally, teams can provide energy and help overcome resistance and discouragement.

While it's possible to be a team and not collaborate and the fear that people working together would lead to a design-by-committee approach—indeed, too much consensus would be the opposite of creative. This fear is certainly valid when looking to implement, but in creative collaboration, each person works together to stimulate the production of ideas, so there is no effort to achieve consensus.

One of the principles implied in the metaphor is that the playing is done without adult supervision. Research has shown that creativity is stifled by the expectation of evaluation and the introduction of extrinsic motivations, that is rewards. (Amabile 1996)

The chief executive officer of Yahoo, Marissa Mayer, has just ordered employees at her struggling internet company to stop working remotely and to resume daily face time at the office. It's seen as a step to boost innovation at Yahoo. In Mayer's widely talked about memo she wrote: "To become the absolute best place to work, communication and collaboration will be important, so we need to be working side-by-side. That is why it is critical that we are all present in our offices." (Kleinman 2013)

FRIEND BARRIERS

We are social beings, and community plays an important part in our development. Thinking that someone would find us ridiculous or foolish restricts our behavior. We fear making mistakes and failure because we are afraid of how we will be judged by others. We don't want to have our ideas criticized, even though feedback is very valuable (Oech 2008). Authority figures wield a lot of power over us in defining how we act and think.

SUMMARY

Most organizations recognize the need to stimulate creativity in their workforce since new and useful ideas can be highly profitable (Shalley 2001). Innovation is important, so remember the phrase "playing in the sandbox with friends," which illustrates three keys to the creative side of the innovation model: creativity, environment, and collaboration. Add the work component (implementation) and you have a fairly complete model for innovation.

REFERENCES

- Adams, James. 1974. *Conceptual Blockbusting, A Guide to Better Ideas*, Cambridge, MA: Perseus Publishing, Inc.
- Amabile, T. 1988. *A Model for Creativity and Innovation in Organizations*. Research in Organization Behaviors, Boston, MA: Harvard Business School Publishing
- Amabile, T. 1996. *Creativity in Context*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.
- American Heritage Dictionary. 2000. s.v. "innovation."
- Bowler, Peter, and Pam Linke. 1996 *Your Child from One to Ten*. Camberwell, AU: ACER Press
- Cleese, John. 2009. *Creativity World Forum*. YouTube video, 10:36. Posted Mar 18, 2009. <http://youtu.be/zGt3-fxOvug>
- Cropley, D. H., and A. J. Cropley. 2006. "Creativity and Innovation in the Systems Engineering Process," http://ura.unisa.edu.au/R/?func=dbin-jump-full&object_id=unisa33223
- Csikszentmihalyi, Mihaly. 1990. *Flow: The Psychology of Optimal Experience*. New York: HarperCollins.
- Csikszentmihalyi, M. 1997. *Creativity: Flow and the Psychology of Discovery and Invention*, New York: Harper Perennial (p. 62)
- Davis, G. (1999) Barriers to creativity and creative attitudes. In M. A. Runco & S. Pritzker (Eds) *Encyclopedia of creativity*, p. 165-174 San Diego, CA: Academic Press
- Elkind, David. 2008. *Can We Play?* Philadelphia, PA: Da Capo Press.
- Elkind, David. 2007 *The Power of Play*. Philadelphia, PA: Da Capo Press.
- Garvey, Catherine. 1990. *Play*. 2nd ed. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Gray, Peter. 2013. *Free to Learn*. New York: Basic Books
- Groves, Kursty, and Will Knight. 2010. *I Wish I Worked There! A Look Inside the Most Creative Spaces in Business*. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley Publications
- Hanks, Kurt, and Jay A. Parry 1983. *Wake Up Your Creative Genius*. Los Altos, CA: William Kaufmann, Inc.
- Kemp, Gina, Melinda Smith, Bernie DeKoven, and Jeanne Segal. 2012. "Play, Creativity, and Lifelong Learning: Why Play Matters for Both Kids and Adults." Retrieved http://www.helpguide.org/life/creative_play_fun_games.htm#creativity
- James, William. 1907. *Psychology*. New York, Henry Holt & Company
- Kleinman, Alexis. 2013. The Huffington Post, <http://www.huffingtonpost.com>
- Koestler, Arthur. 1964. *The Act of Creation*. St. Ives Place, UK: Clays Ltd.
- Leonard, Dorothy and Walter Swap. 1999. *When Sparks Fly: Igniting Creativity in Groups*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
- Lowry, Glenn R., Edited by Rodney L. Turner. 2007. *Information Systems and Technology Education: From the University to the Workplace*. Hershey, PA: Information Science Reference.
- Postman, Neil. 1969. *Teaching as a Subversive Activity*. New York: Dell Publishing Company.
- Rogers, Carl. 1959. "A Theory of Therapy, Personality and Interpersonal Relationships as Developed in the Client-centered Framework." *In Psychology: A Study of a Science*. Vol. 3: Formulations of the Person and the Social Context, edited by S. Koch, 184–256. New York: McGraw Hill.
- Sawyer, Keith. 2007. *Group Genius*. Cambridge, MA: Basic Books.
- Schrage, Michael. 2000. *Serious Play*. Boston, MA: Harvard Business Press.
- Shalley, C. E., and J. E. Perry-Smith. 2001. Effects of social-psychological factors on creativity; the role of informational and controlling expected evaluation and modeling experiences. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes* 84 (1): 1–22.
- Seidel, G. J. 1966. *The Crisis of Creativity*. Notre Dame, IN: Univ. of Notre Dame Press
- Sutton-Smith, Brian. 1997. *The Ambiguity of Play*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press
- Thucydides, (1998), Pericles' funeral oration: *Thucydides' history of the Peloponnesian War, Book II*, Athens, Greece: The Hellenic Parliament
- Torrance, E. Paul. 1967. *Understanding the Fourth Grade Slump in Creative Thinking: Final Report*. Athens, GA: Georgia University Press.
- Vernon, P. E. (1970) *Creativity; Selected readings*. Middlesex, UK: Penguin Publishing
- Von Oech, Roger. 1998. *A Whack on the Side of the Head*. New York: Warner Books.
- Wright, Geoffrey. 2013. *Children's Technology and Engineering* (forthcoming).